1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6		ı	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. <u>4:14-cr-00582-JD</u>	
8	Plaintiff,		
9	v.	FINAL VERDICT FORM	
10	ALVIN FLORIDA, JR.,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	We the Jury in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the answer to the following:		
14	Question 1:		
15	We, the Jury, find Defendant Alvin Florida, Jr., GUILTY or NOT GUILTY (check		
16	one) of bid rigging at public foreclosure auctions in Alameda County in violation of the Sherman		
17	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated:		
22			
23		JURY FOREPERSON	
24			
25			
26			

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. <u>4:14-cr-00582-JD</u>	
8	Plaintiff,	EINAL WEDDICK EODM	
9	v.	FINAL VERDICT FORM	
10	ROBERT ALHASHASH RASHEED,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	We the Jury in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the answer to the following:		
14	Question 1:		
15	We, the Jury, find Defendant Robert Alhashash Rasheed, GUILTY or NOT GUILTY		
16	(check one) of bid rigging at public foreclosure auctions in Alameda County in violation of the		
17	Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated:		
22		HIDV CODEDEDGOV	
23		JURY FOREPERSON	
24			
25			
26			
27			

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES I	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. <u>4:14-cr-00582-JD</u>	
8	Plaintiff,	EINAL VEDDICE EODM	
9	v.	FINAL VERDICT FORM	
10	JOHN LEE BERRY, III,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	We the Jury in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the answer to the following:		
14	Question 1:		
15	We, the Jury, find Defendant John Lee Berry, III, GUILTY or NOT GUILTY (check		
16	one) of bid rigging at public foreclosure auctions in Alameda County in violation of the Sherman		
17	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated:		
22			
23		JURY FOREPERSON	
24			
25			
26			
27			

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6		ı	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. <u>4:14-cr-00582-JD</u>	
8	Plaintiff,		
9	v.	FINAL VERDICT FORM	
10	REFUGIO DIAZ,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	We the Jury in the above-entitled case, unanimously find the answer to the following:		
14	Question 1:		
15	We, the Jury, find Defendant REFUGIO DIAZ , GUILTY or NOT GUILTY (check		
16	one) of bid rigging at public foreclosure auctions in Alameda County in violation of the Sherman		
17	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, as charged in Count One of the Indictment.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Dated:		
22			
23		JURY FOREPERSON	
24			
25			
26			
27			